IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLN.NO.909 OF 2007

1. Dinesh Somnath Ghodke
2. Vilas Vinayak Kulkarni ..Applicants

Vs.

State of Maharashtra ..Respondents

...

Mr.V.V.Purwant advocate for applicant
Mr.K.V.Saste A.P.P. for the State

...

CORAM: SMT.V.K.TAHILRAMANI,J.
DATE : APRIL 3, 2007

P.C.

1. Heard the learned advocate for the applicant and the learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The applicant is seeking anticipatory bail in C.R.No.33 of 2007 of Vijapur Naka Police Station of Solapur. The said case is under Section 363 r.w. 34 of IPC.

3. The learned A.P.P. seeks time till 13.4.2007 to take instructions. Mr.Purwant, the learned advocate for the applicant states that in the meantime, the applicant may be protected. In view

of this submission, in my view, the following order would meet the ends of justice:-

4. In case the Investigating Agency is the opinion that the custody of the applicant is necessary, they shall give applicant 72 hours notice prior to his arrest.

5. It is made clear that if such notice is received by the applicant, the necessary application for anticipatory bail shall be made before the concerned Sessions Court.

6. Application is disposed of.

[V.K.Tahilramani, J.]